UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03-md-1570 (GBD)(SN) ECF Case
This document relates to: Thomas Burnett, Sr., et al. v. The Islamic Republic of Iran, et al.	15-cv-9903 (GBD)(SN) ECF Case

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR ENTRY OF PARTIAL FINAL DEFAULT JUDGMENTS ON BEHALF OF BURNETT/IRAN PLAINTIFFS IDENTIFIED AT EXHIBIT A

(BURNETT / IRAN Supplement 2)

JOHN M. EUBANKS, Esquire, hereby states under penalty of perjury that:

- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Motley Rice LLC, attorneys for the Plaintiffs in the above-captioned matters. I submit this Declaration in support of this motion on behalf of the Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A (attached hereto) from the action titled *Burnett*, *et al.*, *v. The Islamic Republic of Iran*, *et al.*, 15-cv-9903 (GBD)(SN) ("*Burnett/Iran*").
- 2. The form of this motion and the relief requested herein are intended to comply with the following orders of this Court:
 - a. The Court's order dated January 24, 2017 (ECF No. 3435¹), requiring that "[a]ll further motions for final judgment against any defaulting defendant shall be accompanied by a sworn declaration attesting that the attorney has (1) complied with the due diligence safeguards [referenced in Section II.D. of the January 23, 2017 letter from the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee (ECF No. 3433)] and (2) personally verified that no relief has previously been awarded to any plaintiff included in the judgment (or, if relief has been awarded, the nature of that relief)."
 - b. The Court's Order dated October 14, 2016 (ECF No. 3362) related to the cases captioned as *Bauer v. Al Queda Islamic Army*, 02-CV-7236

¹ Unless indicated differently, citations to ECF Nos. are to the docket in *In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11*, 2001, 03-md-1570 (GBD)(SN).

(GBD)(SN) and Ashton v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, 02-CV-6977 (GBD)(SN).

- 3. This motion and the relief requested seeks to supplement relief requested on January 15, 2020 (*Burnett/Iran XVI*, ECF No. 5663).
- 4. Service of process on The Islamic Republic of Iran and the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps was executed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1608(a) on September 14, 2016 by service through diplomatic channels. Service on the Central Bank of the Islamic Republic of Iran was executed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1608(b) on March 18, 2016 through direct mailing via the U.S. Postal Service.
- 5. The sources of my information and the basis for my belief in my statements contained herein are my personal involvement in this matter, my firm's representation of the *Burnett/Iran* Plaintiffs listed on Exhibit A, in connection with the September 11th terror attacks, other court records relating to the *In re Terrorist Attack on September 11, 2001* multidistrict litigation to which these individuals are parties, my communications with other counsel for other plaintiffs in the *In re Terrorist Attack on September 11, 2001* multidistrict litigation, and conversations with these plaintiffs and other family members of these plaintiffs. Any matters about which I lack Personal knowledge are asserted herein upon information and belief.
- 6. The *Burnett/Iran* Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A are each decedents from the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, as indicated for each person on Exhibit A.
- 7. Plaintiffs are supplementing previous requests for damages that the Court has not yet granted to assert economic-loss damages for the estates listed on Exhibit A.
- 8. The economic loss amounts set forth in Exhibit A are derived from the expert reports of economist Dr. Stan V. Smith, which are included in the attached Exhibit B which

includes economic-loss expert reports for each individual for whom economic-loss damages have been sought in Exhibit A.

- 9. Exhibit B contains all of these economic-loss reports on digital media with a copy being provided to the Clerk's Office and a copy being provided to Chambers. Due to the sensitive financial information contained in these documents in addition to their size and volume, Plaintiffs submit that they should remain off the public docket and under seal as has been the custom in other instances where this type of information has been submitted to the Court. Magistrate Judge Netburn had approved the filing of these materials under seal. *See* ECF No. 5716.
- 10. After reviewing the records available to me regarding other judgments entered by this Court against the Iranian defendants, I have not identified any relief that has previously been awarded to any particular plaintiff identified in Exhibit A other the prior orders granting them pain and suffering damages and prejudgment interest or motions currently before the Court for pain and suffering damages and prejudgment interest.
- 11. Before filing this motion, I have (1) complied with the due diligence safeguards referenced in Section II.D. of the January 23, 2017 letter from the Plaintiffs' Executive Committees (ECF No. 3433) and (2) personally verified that, based on my review of the records available to me regarding other judgments entered by this Court against the Iranian defendants, no relief has previously been awarded to any plaintiff included in the judgment.

Accordingly, included with this motion is a proposed Order of Partial Final 12. Judgment for the Plaintiffs Identified in Exhibit A, conforming to the Court's previous orders.

Dated: February 4, 2020 John M. Eubanks

John M. Eubanks, Esq. MOTLEY RICE LLC 28 Bridgeside Blvd.

Mount Pleasant, SC 29464

Tel: 843-216-9218 Fax: 843-216-9450

Email: jeubanks@motleyrice.com